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# THE PRESSURE OF A SYSTEM OF STAMPS ON AN ELASTIC HALF-PLANE UNDER general conditions of contact adhesion and slip* 

E.L. NAKHMEIN and B.M. NULLER

The contact interaction of an elastic half-plane and an arbitrary system of coupled and partially or completely detached stamps is considered. The problem is reduced to a combined Dirichlet-Riemann boundary value problem /l/ and is solved by quadratures. New modifications of the method and problems occurring in tasks with two and more slip sections are discussed; analogous problems with one slip section were studied earlier $/ 2 /$. Fal'kovich's problem /3/ is investigated in a broadened formulation as an illustration.

1. Let $L_{k}=\left\langle a_{k}, b_{k}\right\rangle, k=1,2, \ldots, l$ be an open, half-open, or closed interval and $M_{k}=$ $\left[p_{k}, q_{k}\right], k=1,2, \ldots, m$, segments of the real axis $y=0$ on which the stamps have, respectively, slipping contact and total adhesion with the elastic half-plane $-\infty<x<\infty, y \leqslant 0$; $a_{1}<b_{1}<\ldots<b_{l}, p_{1}<q_{1}<\ldots<q_{m}$. We determine the shape of the stamps, the tangential clearance on $M_{k}$, the separation-free abutment and non-intersection of the stamp and the halfplane by the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{\prime}=u_{0}^{\prime}(x), \quad x \in M ; \quad v^{\prime}=v_{0}{ }^{\prime}(x), \quad x \in L \cup M ;  \tag{1.1}\\
& L=\bigcup_{k=1}^{l} L_{k}, \quad M=\bigcup_{k=1}^{m} M_{k} \\
& \tau_{x y}=\tau_{0}(x), x \in L ; \sigma_{y}=\tau_{x y}=0, x \in S ; L \cap M=0 \\
& \sigma_{u} \leqslant 0, x \subseteq L ; v(x)-v_{0}(x) \geqslant 0, x \in S^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $S$ is the complement $L \cup M$ to the real axis, $S^{\prime}$ are the selections outside $L \cup M$. on which the stamp base with the shape $v_{0}(x)$ is not contiguous to the half-plane; the qiven functions satisfy the Holder condition; the interval $L_{k}=\left[a_{k}, b_{k}\right]\left(L_{k}=\left(a_{k}, b_{k}\right)\right)$ is *Prik1.Matem.Mekhan.,52,2,284-293,1988
closed (open) if sections of the free boundary $S$ of the half-plane (the adhesion sections $M_{j}$ and $\left.M_{j+1}\right)$ adjoin it from two sides; the half-open intervals $L_{k}=\left(a_{k}, b_{k}\right]$ or $L_{k}=\left\{a_{k}, b_{k}\right)$ correspond to $M_{j}$ being adjacent to $L_{k}$ only on the left for $q_{j}=a_{k}$ or on the right for $b_{k}=p_{i}$. We give the tangential clearance $\chi_{k}=u\left(b_{k}\right)-u\left(a_{k}\right)$ in each open interval ( $a_{k}, b_{k}$ ) We apply a normal force $Y_{k}$ to each completely stripped stamp occupying the segment $\left[a_{k}, b_{k}\right]$, to each stamp having one or several adhesion sections $M_{j}, M_{j+1}, \ldots$ and perhaps several slip sections, one tangential force $X_{j}^{\prime}$ and one normal force $Y_{j}{ }^{\prime}$. The total number of parameters $\chi_{k}, Y_{k}, X_{j}^{\prime}, Y_{j}^{\prime} \quad$ obviously equals $l+2 m-\alpha^{\prime}-2 \alpha^{\prime \prime}$, where $\alpha^{\prime}$ is the number of half-open, and $\alpha^{\prime \prime}$ the number of open intervals $L_{k}$.

We will seek the solution of the problem in the form $/ 4 /$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{y}-i \tau_{x y}=\Phi(z)-\Phi(\bar{z})+(z-\bar{z}) \overline{\Phi^{\prime}(z)}, z=x+i y \\
& 2 \mu\left(u^{\prime}+i v^{\prime}\right)=\chi \Phi(z)+\Phi(\bar{z})-(z-\bar{z}) \Phi^{\prime}(\bar{z}) \\
& \Phi(z)={ }_{4} \sigma_{x}+2 i \mu \varepsilon^{\infty}(x+1)^{-1}-F e^{i_{\theta}}(2 \pi z)^{-1}+O\left(z^{-2}\right), \\
& z \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F$ and $\theta$ are the magnitude and slope to the $O x$ axis of the principal vector of all the forces $Y_{k}, X_{j}^{\prime}, Y_{j}^{\prime}, 0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant 2 \pi, \sigma_{x}^{\infty}$ is the constant component of the stress field and $\varepsilon^{\infty}$ is the rotation at infinity.

Substituting (1.2) into (1.1), we obtain the combined Dirichlet-Riemann boundary value problem $/ 1 /$ for a piecewise-analytic function with the boundary lines $L \cup M$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Im} \Phi \pm(x)=f^{ \pm}(x), \quad f \pm(x)=(x+1)^{-1}\left[2 \mu v_{0}^{\prime}(x) \pm \tau^{ \pm}(x)\right]  \tag{1.3}\\
& \tau^{+}(x)=\chi \tau_{0}(x), \tau^{-}(x)=\tau_{0}(x), x \in L \\
& \Phi^{+}(x)+x \Phi^{-}(x)=g(x), g(x)=2 \mu\left[u_{0}^{\prime}(x)+i v_{0}^{\prime}(x)\right],  \tag{1.4}\\
& x \subseteq M
\end{align*}
$$

The canonical solution $X(z)$ of the homogeneous problem (1.3) and (1.4) has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& X(z)=Z(z) e^{i \psi(z)} \prod_{j=1}^{l}\left(z-b_{j}\right)^{-\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^{l-1}\left(z-c_{j}\right)^{-\beta},  \tag{1.5}\\
& Z(z)=\prod_{k=1}^{m}\left(z-p_{k}\right)^{-1 / 2+i \psi}\left(z-q_{k}\right)_{i}^{-1 / z i \psi}, \quad \gamma=\frac{\ln \psi}{2 \pi} \\
& \psi(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{L}\left\{\frac{Y(z)\left[h^{+}(t)+h^{-}(t)\right]}{Y^{+}(t)}+h^{+}(t)-h^{-}(t)\right\} \frac{d t}{t-z} \\
& Y(z)=\prod_{x=1}^{i}\left(z-a_{k}\right)^{1 / z}\left(z-b_{k}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad Y(z)=z^{2}+O\left(z^{l-1}\right), \quad z \rightarrow \infty \\
& Y^{+}(t)=i(-1)^{1-k}\left[\prod_{j=1}^{i}\left|t-a_{j}\right|\left|t-b_{j}\right|\right]^{1 / s}, \quad t \in L_{k} \\
& h^{ \pm}(t)=\pi n_{K^{ \pm}}-\arg Z^{ \pm}(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{l} \alpha_{j} \arg \left(t-b_{j}\right)^{ \pm}+ \\
& \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \beta_{j} \arg \left(t-c_{j}\right)^{t}, \quad t \cong L_{\mathrm{k}}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $n_{k} \pm, \alpha_{k}, \beta_{k} \neq 0$ are integers, $c_{k}$ are complex numbers, the slits in the $z$ plane are drawn along the real axis in the positive direction, $Z(z)$ is the canonical solution of the homogeneous Riemann problem (1.4) in the broadest class of functions integrable at the nodes $p_{k}, q_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, m ; \psi(z)$ is the solution of the Dirichlet problem $\operatorname{Re} \psi^{ \pm}(x)=h^{ \pm}(x), x \subseteq L$, bounded at the nodes $a_{k}, b_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, l$ and at infinity, which is possible only when the following conditions are satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{L} \frac{h^{+}(t)+h^{-}(t)}{Y^{+}(t)} t^{j-1} d t=0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, l-1 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Allowing substantial arbitrariness in the selection of the numbers $\beta_{k}$ and $c_{k}$, the form of the solution (1.5) and (1.6) indeed generates the problem of this selection. The exception is the case $l=1 / 2 /$, when the factors $\left(z-c_{k}\right)^{-\beta_{k}}$ do not occur in the function $X(z)$ incependentiy of the quantity $m$.

The general solution of the homogeneous Dirichiet-Riemann problem is constructed in $/ 1,2 /$ in the form of a sum of linearly independent canonical solutions. Another method is applied below, that uses one canonical solution. Different modifications of the method enable a general solution to be obtained for a given relationship between the parameters $l, m, \alpha^{\prime}$ and $\alpha^{\prime \prime}$ in a most simple and convenient form.

The general solution of problem (1.1) and (1.2) will be sought in the broadest class of functions $\Phi(z)$ governing the finite local energy of elastic strains of a half-plane in the neighbourhood of the ends of all intervals $L_{\mathrm{k}} M_{j}$ and constants at infinity. This corresponds to solving problem (1.3), (1.4) in the broadest class of piecewise-analytic functions with the boundary lines $L \cup M / 5 /$. However, unlike the Dirichlet and Riemann problems, the canonical solution (1.5) and (1.6) of the combined Dirichlet-Riemann problem cannot be constructed in this class of functions in the general case.

Indeed, in the neighbourhood of the ends of $L_{k}$ the asymptotic forms of the functions $X(z)$ have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& X(z)=O\left[\left(z-a_{k}\right)^{\mu_{k}}\right], \quad z \rightarrow a_{k} ; X(z)=O\left[\left(z-b_{k}\right)^{v_{k}}\right], \quad z \rightarrow b_{k}  \tag{1.7}\\
& \mu_{k}=\delta_{k}+\omega_{k}-1 / z^{2} w_{k}^{-}, \quad v_{k}=\varepsilon_{k}-\omega_{k}+1 /{ }_{2} w_{k}^{-}-\alpha_{k}  \tag{1.8}\\
& w_{k}^{-}=n_{k}^{+}-n_{k}^{-} \\
& \omega_{k}=\theta_{k}-\left.\frac{1}{2 \pi} \arg \frac{Z^{+}(x)}{Z^{-}(x)}\right|_{x \in ⿺_{k}}, \theta_{k}=\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \alpha_{k} \quad(k>1), \quad \theta_{1}=0 \tag{1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta_{k}=-1 / 2\left(\delta_{k}=0\right)$, if the point $a_{k}$ agrees (does not agree) one some point $g_{f} ; \varepsilon_{k}=-1 / 2$ $\left(\varepsilon_{k}=0\right)$, if the point $b_{k}$ agrees (does not agree) with the point $p_{j+1}$. Since the function $\arg \left\{Z^{+}(x)\left[Z^{-}(x)\right]^{-1}\right\}$ is constant and a multiple of $2 \pi$ on $L_{k}$ and $\alpha_{k}$ are integers, the numbers $\omega_{\mathrm{k}}$ are also integers.

Let the function $X(z)$ have integrable singularities at both nodes of $L_{k}$. Then it follows from the form of the numbers (1.8) that $\mu_{k}=v_{k}=-1 / 2$. Combining Eqs. (1.8), we obtain the relationship $\alpha_{k}=\delta_{k}+\varepsilon_{k}+1$, by virtue of which the numbers $a_{k}$ can be integers only for $\delta_{k}=\varepsilon_{k}$. If $\delta_{k}=\varepsilon_{k}=-1 / 2 \quad\left(L_{k}=\left(a_{k}, b_{k}\right)\right.$, then $\alpha_{k}=0$, if $\delta_{k}=\varepsilon_{k}=0\left(L_{k}=\left|a_{k}, b_{k}\right|\right)$, then $\alpha_{k}=1$. If $L_{k}=\left(a_{k}, b_{k}\right\rfloor$ or $L_{k}=\left\lceil a_{k}, b_{k}\right)$, then we set $\mu_{k}=-1 / 2 * v_{k}=0$, requiring boundedness of the solution at the point $b_{k}$; here $a_{k}=0$.

Remark 1. It is best to introduce the singularities of the function $X(z)$ symmetrically also in problems that have some symmetry in the arrangement of the sections $L_{k}$ and $M_{j}$.

Having determined the parameters $\alpha_{k}$ and knowing the mutual arrangement of the sections $L_{k}$ and $M_{j}$, we find the numbers $\omega_{k}$ by (1.9) and the difference $w_{k}^{-}=n_{k}^{+}-n_{k}^{-}, k=1,2, \ldots, l$, by (1.8). Since the numbers $n_{k^{ \pm}}{ }^{ \pm}$are integers, the differences $w_{k}^{-}$and sums $w_{k}^{+}=n_{k}^{+}+n_{k}^{-}$ will be simultaneously even or odd for every $k$. In addition to the relations mentioned, the numbers $w_{k}^{+}$and $c_{k}$ should satisfy conditions (1.6) which according to (1.5) are a system of $\boldsymbol{l}-1$ equations, linearly algebraic in $w_{k}{ }^{+}$and transcendental in $c_{k}$. It is sufficient to introduce just simple poles and zeros $z=c_{k}$ into (1.5) for the selection of the numbers $\beta_{k}$ in the system by setting $\left|\beta_{k}\right|=1, k=1,2, \ldots, l-1$.

Let $s_{k}, k=1, \ldots, l-1$ be a system of arbitrary continuous curves. Let each curve $s_{k}$ lie entirely in the upper half-plane $(y>0)$ or lower half-plane $(y<0)$ including the appropriate edge $L_{k}{ }^{+}$and $L_{k}{ }^{-}$of the slit $L_{k}$, and have ends at the point $a_{k}$ and $b_{k}$. Then it can be shown that for $w_{l}^{+}=w_{l}^{-}$and an arbitrary distribution of the numbers $\beta_{k}= \pm 1$ over $k$ and evenness of the numbers $w_{k}{ }^{+}$system (1.6) has a solution in the form of integers $w_{k}{ }^{+}$and complex numbers $c_{k} \in s_{k}$. In particular, if the line $s_{k}$ agrees with one of the edges $L_{k} \pm$, then $c_{k}$ is a real number.

Remark 2. It is possible to take $l-1$ arbitrary curves instead of $l-1$ curves $s_{k}, k=$ $1, \ldots, l-1$ and relationships $w_{l}{ }^{+}=w_{l}^{-}$, and to give an arbitrary number $w_{k}^{+}$of the same evenness as $w_{n}^{-}$for any one $k$ of the $l$ possible ones.

The existence of a continuum of solutions $c_{k} \in s_{k}$ has an explicit mechanical meaning: it corresponds to a continual set of the half-plane equilibrium mode for given indices of the singularities $\mu_{k}, v_{k}, k=1, \ldots, l$, and the undetermined parameters $\chi_{k}, Y_{k}, X_{j}{ }^{\prime}, Y_{j}{ }^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\infty}, \sigma_{x}$.

A different kind of constraint is imposed below on the total number $\beta$ of zeros $z=c_{k}$ (the numbers $\beta_{k}=-1$ ). Taking them into account to select some sequence $\beta_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots$, $l-1$, and by determining the unknowns $w_{k}{ }^{+}$and $c_{k}$ from system (1.6), we obtain the function $X(z)$, which, according to (1.5), has the asymptotic form at infinity

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(z)=O\left(z^{-r}\right), \quad r=2 l+m-\alpha^{\prime}-\dot{\alpha^{\prime \prime}}-2 \beta-1 \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. We will now construct the general solution of the combined problem (1.3) and (1.4). setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(z)=X(z)\left[\Phi_{1}(z)+\Phi_{2}(z)\right] \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi_{2}(x)$ is a function analytic on $M$, we obtain a problem on the jump $\Phi_{1}{ }^{+}(x)-\Phi_{1}{ }^{-}(x)=$ $g(x)\left[X^{+}(x)\right]^{-1}, x \in M$, from (1.4), whose solution has the form

$$
\Phi_{1}(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{M} \frac{g(t) d t}{X^{+}(t)(t-z)}
$$

Since $\Phi_{1}(z)=O\left(z^{-1}\right), z \rightarrow \infty$, from (2.1) and the condition $\Phi(z)=O(1) \mathbf{z} \quad z \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $r \geqslant-1$, which means that by virtue of (1.10) the number of zeros $\beta$ is bounded $(E\{x\}$ is the integer part of $x$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \leqslant E\left\{1 / 2\left(2 l+m-a^{\prime}-a^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\operatorname{lm} c_{k} \neq 0$ for all the zeros $z=c_{k}$. Then substituting (2.1) into (1.3), we obtain the Dirichlet problem /5/

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} \Phi_{2} \pm(x)=f_{2}^{ \pm}(x), \quad f_{2} \pm(x)=f^{ \pm}(x)\left[X^{ \pm}(x)\right]^{-1}-\operatorname{Im} \Phi_{1}(x), \quad x \in L \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is natural to assume that the integrable singularities of the function $\Phi(z)$ are radicals by analogy with $X(z)$ (this can be proved rigorously but such a proof is not required when we have a uniqueness theorem for solving problem (1.1) and (1.2)). Then, starting from (2.1) and the asymptotics forms (1.10) and (1.7) of the function $X(z)$ that has radical singularities at all the nodes $a_{k}, b_{k}$ except $\alpha^{\prime}$ of the nodes $b_{k}$ of the half-open intervals of $L_{k}$ where it is bounded, the solution of problem (2.3) must be found in the class of functions integrable at the mentioned $\alpha^{\prime}$ nodes $b_{k}$ and finite in the remaining $2 l-\alpha^{\prime}$ nodes of the contour $L$ under the additional condition $\Phi_{2}(z)=O\left(z^{r}\right), z \rightarrow \infty$.

Taking into account that this solution can have simple poles at $\beta$ points $c_{6}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{2}(z)=\frac{Y_{0}(z)}{2 \pi i} \int_{L} \frac{f_{2}+(t)+f_{2}-(t)}{Y^{+}(t)(t-z)} d t+\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{i} \frac{f_{2}+(t)-f_{z}-(t)}{t-z} d t+  \tag{2.4}\\
& \quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\beta}\left\{\frac{A_{k}}{z-c_{k}}+\frac{\bar{A}_{k}}{z-\bar{\tau}_{k}}+Y(z)\left[\frac{A_{k}}{Y\left(c_{K}\right)\left(z-c_{k}\right)}-\frac{\bar{A}_{k}}{Y\left(\bar{c}_{k}\right)\left(z-\varepsilon_{k}\right)}\right]\right\}+ \\
& \quad P_{r}(z)+i Q_{s}(z) Y_{0}(z), \quad Y_{0}(z)=Y(z) \prod_{:=1}^{!\alpha^{\prime}}\left(z-b_{k}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}, \\
& s=r-l+\alpha^{\prime} \\
& P_{r}(z)=C_{0}+C_{1} z+\ldots+C_{r} z^{r}, \quad Q_{s}(z)=D_{0}+D_{1} z+\ldots \\
& \quad+D_{s} z^{z}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $C_{R}, D_{k}$ are arbitrary real and $A_{k}$ arbitrary complex constants and fox simplicity in the writing, the first $\beta$ numbers $c_{k}$ are taken as zeros; if the first integral of (2.4) is different from zero, then the condition $X(z) \Phi_{2}(z)=O(1), z \rightarrow \infty$, equivalent to the condition $X(z) Y_{0}(z) z^{-1}=O(1)$, imposes the following constraint on $\beta$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \leqslant E\left\{1 / 2\left(l+m-\alpha^{\prime}\right)\right\} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is no less stiff than (2.2); the $a^{\prime}$ nodes $b_{k}$ are denoted by $b_{k}{ }^{\prime}$ at which the function $X(z)$ is bounded, $v_{k}=0$. In sum, the function $\Phi_{2}(z)$ contains ${ }^{\prime} N=2 \beta+r+s+2$ arbitrary real constants. Of these $2(l-\beta-1)$ constants should go to cancellation of the poles of the function $\Phi(z)$. According to (2.1), the requirement that the functions $\Phi_{1}(z)+\Phi_{2}(z)$ vanish with appropriate multiplicity at $l-\beta-1$ simple complex or double real poles $c_{k}$ is sufficient for this (if $s_{k}$ is an edge of $L_{k}$, then the poles and zeros $c_{k} \in s_{k}$ are doubled at this edge because of the formation of a logarithmic singularity for the function $\psi(z)$ at the point $c_{k}$ ). The number $l+2 m-\alpha^{\prime}-2 \alpha^{\prime \prime}+2$ of the remaining real constants is independent of $\beta$ and equals the number of given kinematic and force parameters $\chi_{k}, Y_{k}, X_{j}^{\prime}, Y_{j}^{\prime}, e^{\infty}, \sigma_{x+\infty}^{\infty}$ of the initial problem obtained in Sect.l.

Therefore, the $N$ constants (2.4) can be found from the system of $N$ linear algebraic equations; the matrix elements of the system corresponding to the force and kinematic factors are calculated, as usual /4/, by integrating the contact stresses and the boundary displacements. By virtue of the linear independence of the functions (2.4) multiplicity of these $N$ constants and by virtue of the uniqueness of the solution of the elasticity theory problem (1.1) and (1.2), the determinent of the system is different from zero and it has a unique solution. An analogous result is also obtained on combining several stamps into one or for another constraint on their degrees of freedom.

Problem (1.1), (1.2) can be solved in a narrower class of functions, with finite stresses at any $N_{1}$ nodes, by starting from (1.2), (2.1), equating the stress intensity factors at these nodes to zero, the obtaining $N_{1}$ conditions connecting the given functions (1.1) and all the parameters $a_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, q_{m}, \chi_{k}, Y_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}{ }^{\prime}, \mathbb{e}^{\infty}, \sigma^{\infty}$, that were independent earlier.

Let us examine modifications of the selection of $s_{k}$ and $\beta_{k}$. The representation $N=$ $3 l+2 m-\alpha^{*}-2 a^{*}-2 \beta$ shows that the number of unknowns in (2.4) diminishes as the number of zeros $\beta$ grows, becoming a minimum for $\beta=1-1$. However, conditions (2.2) and (2.5) can, on the one hand, hinder an increase in $\beta$ and on the other, complicate the search for complex zeros, (as compared with the allowable real poles $c_{k}$ ) and the subsequent calculations. If the constraint $\operatorname{Im} c_{k} \neq 0$ for $\beta_{k}=-1$ is removed, then the solution of the homogeneous Dirichlet problem (2.3) with given double real poles $c_{k} \in L_{k} \pm$ is not expressed in elementary functions (2.4) but in quadratures or is reduced to the solution of two additional systems of
equations of the type (1.6); the inhomogeneous problem (2.3) should here be separated into two so that $f^{ \pm}(x) \equiv 0, x \in L_{k}$ for $X^{ \pm}\left(c_{k}\right)=0$.

Following / / it is possible to set $\beta_{k}=-1, c_{k} \in L_{k} \pm$ for all $k$ and without reducing the Dirichlet-Riemann problem to the Dirichlet problem, construct the solution in the form of a sum of canonical linearly independent solutions (1.5). In this case the system contains $N=$ $l+2 m-\alpha^{\prime}-2 \alpha^{\prime \prime}+2$, i.e., the minimum of unknowns, but approximately $1 / 2 N_{2}$ equations of the type (1.6) must additionally be solved. Therefore, each modification has its advantages and disadvantages in different cases.
3. As an example we consider the Fal'kovich problem/3/ in a more general formulation. Let the half-plane adjoint a flat stamp having two symmetric slip sections $L_{1}=\left[-a_{2}-b\right)$, $L_{2}=$ ( $b, a]$ and one adhesion section without tension $M_{1}=[-b, b]$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1}=-a, \quad b_{1}=p_{1}=-b, \quad a_{3}=q_{1}=b, \quad b_{2}=a, \quad \alpha^{\prime}=2  \tag{3.1}\\
& \alpha^{\prime \prime}=0 \\
& l=2, m=1, \quad u_{0}^{\prime}(x)=v_{0}^{\prime}(x)=\tau_{0}(x) \equiv 0, \quad X_{1}^{\prime}=F \cos \theta^{\prime} \\
& Y_{1}^{\prime}=F \sin \theta, \quad \beta \leqslant 1
\end{align*}
$$

Unlike in $/ 3 /$, here $X_{1}{ }^{\prime} \neq 0$ and the absolute stability condition for a crack $\tau_{x y}( \pm b$, $0)=0$, is removed, considerably simplifying the problem.

By virtue of (3.1) we have in the canonical solution (1.5)

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z(z)=(z+b)^{-1 / 2+i \varphi}(z-b)^{-1 / 2-i \gamma}, \arg Z^{ \pm}(x)=-s(x)-  \tag{3.2}\\
& \quad \pi m_{j} \pm, \quad x \in L, \\
& s(x)=\gamma \ln \left|(x+b)^{-1}(x-b)\right|, \quad m_{1} \pm=1, m_{3}^{+}=\delta_{1}=\varepsilon_{2}=0, \\
& m_{2}^{-}=2, \quad \varepsilon_{1}=\delta_{z}=-1 / 2
\end{align*}
$$

Taking account of Remark 1 , we set $\mu_{1}=v_{2}=0 ; \mu_{2}=v_{1}=-1 / 2$. Hence and from (1.8), (1.9), (3.2) and taking Remark 2 into account, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\omega_{1}=w_{1}^{-}=0, \quad \omega_{2}=1, \quad w_{2}^{+}=-w_{2}^{-}=-2 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Of the two possible modifications $\beta=0$ and $\beta=1$ of the solutions (2.1), (1.5), we consider the first. Let $c_{2}=c \in L_{2}{ }^{+}, \beta_{2}=1$, $\arg (x-c)^{ \pm}=\pi[I+U(x-c)]$, where $U(x)$ is the Heaviside unit function. Then we have according to (3.1)-(3.3)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi(z)=\frac{Y(z)}{\pi i}\left[\int_{L} \frac{s(t) d t}{Y^{+}(t)(t-z)}+\frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \int_{L_{j}} \frac{w_{j}^{+}+2 U(t-c)}{Y^{+}(t)(t-z)} d t\right]+2 \pi  \tag{3.4}\\
& Y(z)=\sqrt{\left(z^{2}-a^{2}\right)\left(z^{2}-b^{2}\right), \quad Y^{+}(t)=-i(-1)^{j} Y_{1}(t), \quad t \in} \begin{array}{l}
L_{j ;} \quad Y_{1}(t)=\sqrt{\left(a^{2}-t^{2}\right)\left(t^{2}-b^{2}\right)}
\end{array} .
\end{align*}
$$

Evaluating the first integral in (3.4) /2/, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi(z)=\gamma \ln \frac{z-b}{z+b}+\varphi(z), \quad Y_{2}(t)=\sqrt{\left(a^{2}-t^{2}\right)\left(b^{2}-t^{2}\right)}  \tag{3.5}\\
& \varphi(z)=Y(z)\left[\int_{-b}^{b} \frac{\gamma d t}{Y_{2}(t)(t-z)}-\int_{0}^{a}\left(\frac{w_{1}{ }^{+}}{t+z}-\frac{2}{t-z}\right) \frac{d t}{2 Y_{1}(t)}-\right. \\
& \left.\int_{c}^{a} \frac{d t}{Y_{1}(t)(t-z)}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (3.1)-(3.5) into (1.5), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(z)=(z-c)^{-1}\left(z^{2}-b^{2}\right) e^{i \varphi(z)} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

After analogous substitutions (1.6) takes the form

$$
n \int_{b}^{a} \frac{d t}{Y_{1}(t)}+\int_{c}^{a} \frac{d t}{Y_{1}(t)}-\gamma \int_{-b}^{b} \frac{d t}{Y_{2}(t)}=0, \quad n=-1-\frac{1}{2} w_{1}^{+}
$$

and can be written in Legendre elliptic integrals of the first kind

$$
\begin{align*}
& n K\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)+F\left(\eta, \lambda^{\prime}\right)-2 \gamma K(\lambda)=0, \quad \lambda=a^{-1} b, \quad \lambda^{\prime}=\sqrt{1-\lambda^{3}}  \tag{3.7}\\
& \eta=\arcsin \left[\left(a^{2}-c^{2}\right)^{1 / 4}\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)^{-1 / 1}, \quad \lambda \models(0,1)\right.
\end{align*}
$$

where $K(\lambda)$ is the complete and $F(\eta, \lambda)$ the incomplete integral.
Inverting the function $F\left(\eta, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$ we obtain an explicit expression for $c$ in terms of $n$
and $\lambda$ from (3.7)

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=a \sqrt{1-\lambda^{\prime 2} \mathrm{sn}^{2}\left(T, \lambda^{\prime}\right)}, \quad T \equiv T(n, \lambda)=2 \gamma K(\lambda)-n K\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathrm{sn}(T, \lambda)$ is the Jacobi elliptical sine, and the positive value of the radical is selected from the condition $c \in[b, a], b>0$.

The inequalities connecting $n$ and $\lambda$ if $n, c$ are roots of (3.7)

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant 2 \gamma K(\lambda)-n K\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right) \leqslant K\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right), \quad n \geqslant 0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

result from the properties of elliptic functions and poisson's ratio $K(\lambda)>0, T=F\left(\eta, \lambda^{\prime}\right) \geqslant$ $0, F\left(\eta, \lambda^{\prime}\right) \leqslant K\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right), \gamma>0$ and (3.8). The function $K(\lambda)$ increases monotonically from $1 / 2^{\pi}$ to $\infty$ in the interval $0<\lambda<1$, the function $K\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$ decreases monotonically from $\infty$ to $1 / 2^{\pi}$, consequently, the function $T(n, \lambda)$ also increases monotonically for any $n \geqslant 0$, changing sign for $n \geqslant 1$. It hence follows that for a fixed $n \geqslant 1$ a single root $\lambda=\lambda_{n}$ exists for the equation $T(n, \lambda)=0$. Since the inequality (3.9) is satisfied in the interval $\left[\lambda_{n}, \lambda_{n+1}\right]$ for $n \geqslant 0$, where $\lambda_{0}=0$, then for all $\lambda \in(0,1)$ a unique value $n=E\left\{2 \gamma K(\lambda) K^{-1}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)\right\}$, can be determined from (3.9) except for the point $\lambda=\lambda_{n}$, and then an appropriate $c$ according to (3.8), i.e., roots of Eq. (3.7) can be found.

Remark 3. For all $n \geqslant 1$ Eq. (3.7) has two roots $n, c=a$ ana $n-1, c=b$ at the points $\lambda=\lambda_{n}$.

It follows from the formula for $n$ and the monotonicity of the growth of the function $K(\lambda)$ that the quantity $n$ increases without limit in the interval $0<\lambda<1$, running sucessively through the values $0,1,2, \ldots$ It follows from the monotonicity of the growth of the elliptic sine in (3.8) in the interval $\lambda_{n} \leqslant \lambda \leqslant \lambda_{n+1}$ from $\operatorname{sn}\left(0, \lambda_{n}\right)=0$ to $\operatorname{sn}\left[K\left(\lambda_{n+1}\right)\right.$, $\left.\lambda_{n+1}^{\prime}\right]=1$ that for each $n \geqslant 0$ the quantity $c$ decreases monotonically from a (for $n \geqslant 1$ ) to $b$ in $\left[\lambda_{n}, \lambda_{n+1}\right]$.

The general solution (2.1) of problem (1.1), (3.1) has the form $\Phi(z)=X(z) \Phi_{2}(z)$, the function $X(z)$ is determined in (3.6); according to (1.5), (1.10), (2.2) and (2.4), $r=2$, $s=2, N=6$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{2}(z)=P_{2}(z)+i Q_{2}(z)\left(z^{2}-\cdots a^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(z^{2}-b^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The four conditions at infinity (1.2) and two conditions of boundedness of the solution $\Phi_{2}{ }^{+}(c)=0, \Phi_{2}{ }^{+}(c)=0$ yield the following system of equations in the six arbitrary constants in (3.10)

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{2}+i D_{2}=i \exp (i \zeta+1 / 2 i n \pi)\left[1 / 4 \sigma_{x}^{\infty}+2 i \mu \varepsilon^{\infty}(x+1)^{-1}\right]  \tag{3.11}\\
& \left(c+i \zeta_{1}\right)\left(C_{2}+i D_{2}\right)+C_{1}+i D_{1}=-1 /{ }_{2} i \pi \pi^{-1} F \exp \left(i \zeta+i \theta_{n}\right) \\
& \left(a^{2}-c^{2}\right) P_{2}(c)+Y_{1}(c) Q_{2}(c)=0, \quad \theta_{n}=\theta+1 / 2 n \pi \\
& \left(a^{2}-c^{2}\right) P_{2}^{\prime}(c)+Y_{1}(c) Q_{2}^{\prime}(c)+c\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right) Y_{1}^{-1}(c) Q_{2}(c)=0 \\
& \zeta=\arcsin \sqrt{\frac{c^{2}-b^{2}}{a^{2}-b^{2}}}, \quad \zeta_{1}=-\int_{-b}^{b} \frac{Y t d t}{Y_{2}(t)}+\int_{0}^{a} \frac{n t^{2} d t}{Y_{1}(t)}-\int_{c}^{a} \frac{t^{2} d t}{Y_{1}(t)}
\end{align*}
$$

The contact stresses on the slip and adhesion sections have the form ( $j=1,2$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{y}=-\frac{2(-1)^{x_{j}}}{|x-c|}\left[\frac{(-1)^{j} P_{2}(x) \operatorname{sh} \sigma_{1}(x)}{\sqrt{x^{2}-b^{2}}}+\frac{Q_{2}(x) \operatorname{ch} \varphi_{2}(x)}{\sqrt{a^{2}-x^{2}}}\right], \quad x \in L_{j}  \tag{3.12}\\
& \sigma_{1}-i \tau_{x y}=-\frac{(x+1) e^{\left.i \varphi_{k} x\right)}}{\sqrt{x}(x-c)}\left[\frac{i P_{2}(x)}{\sqrt{b^{2}-x^{2}}}-\frac{Q_{2}(x)}{\sqrt{a^{2}-x^{2}}}\right], \quad x=[-b, b] \\
& \varphi_{1}(x)=(-1)^{2+1} Y_{1}(x) \varphi_{0}(x), \quad x \in L_{j} ; \quad \dot{\varphi}_{2}(x)=-Y_{2}(x) \varphi_{0}(x), \\
& x \in[-b, b] \\
& \varphi_{0}(x)=\int_{-b}^{b} \frac{\gamma d t}{\overline{Y_{2}(t)(t-x)}+\int_{b}^{a} \frac{n d t}{Y_{1}(t)(t+x)}-} \\
& \int_{c}^{a} \frac{d t}{F_{1}(t)(t-x)}, \quad x \in[-a, a]
\end{align*}
$$

where the integrals are evaluated in the Cauchy principal value sense. We examine the case $\sigma_{x}^{\infty}=\varepsilon^{\infty}=0$ in greater detail. We find from system (3.11)

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{0}=\left[-C_{1} \Delta_{1}+D_{1} Y(c) \sin ^{2} \zeta\right]  \tag{3.13}\\
& D_{0}=-\left[C_{1} Y_{1}(c) \cos ^{2} \zeta+D_{1} \Delta_{2}\right] \\
& C_{1}=F_{*} \sin \left(\zeta+\theta_{n}\right), D_{1}=-F_{*} \cos \left(\zeta+\theta_{n}\right), \quad C_{2}=D_{2}=0
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{*}=1 / 2(\pi c)^{-1} F, \quad \Delta_{1}=c^{2} \sin ^{2} \zeta+b^{2} \cos ^{2} \zeta \\
& \Delta_{2}=c^{2} \cos ^{2} \zeta+a^{2} \sin ^{2} \zeta
\end{aligned}
$$

Asymptotic forms of the stresses at the points of separation of the boundary condition are expressed according to (3.12) and (3.13) by the formulas

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{y}(x)=K_{I}( \pm b)[2 \pi(-b \pm x)]^{-1 / 2}+\sigma_{0}( \pm b)+O(\sqrt{-b \pm x}),  \tag{3.14}\\
& x \rightarrow \pm b \pm 0 \\
& \left(\sigma_{v}-i \tau_{x y}\right)(x)=\sigma_{0}( \pm b)-i K_{I I}( \pm b)[2 \pi(b \mp x)]^{-1 / 2}+ \\
& O(\sqrt{b \mp x}), \quad x \rightarrow \pm b \mp 0 \\
& \sigma_{\nu}(x)=K_{\mathrm{I}}( \pm a)[2 \pi(a \mp x)]^{-1 / 4}+O(\sqrt{a \mp x}), x \rightarrow \pm a \mp 0 \\
& K_{I}( \pm b)=(x+1)(x-1)^{-1} K_{I I}( \pm b) \text {, } \\
& K_{\text {II }}( \pm b)= \pm(x+1) c F_{*} \sqrt{\pi \Delta_{1}(x b)^{-1}} \sin \left(\delta_{1} \mp \theta-1 / 2 \pi n\right) \\
& K_{1}( \pm a)= \pm 2 F_{*} \sqrt{\frac{\pi \Delta_{2}}{a}} \sin \left(\delta_{2} \pm \theta+1 / 2 \pi n\right), \\
& \delta_{1}=\operatorname{arctg}\left(\frac{c}{b} \sqrt{\frac{c^{3}-b^{2}}{a^{2}-c^{2}}}\right), \quad \delta_{2}=\operatorname{arctg}\left(\frac{c}{a} \sqrt{\frac{a^{2}-c^{3}}{c^{2}-b^{2}}}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

( $\sigma_{0}( \pm b)$ are certain constants).
By virtue of (1.2) and (3.14), the derivative of the normal displacement of the free half-plane boundary at the stamp edges has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \mu(x+1)^{-1} v^{\prime}(x)=-1 / 2 K_{1}( \pm a)[2 \pi(-a \pm x)]^{-1 / s}+  \tag{3.15}\\
& \quad O(\sqrt{ }-a \pm x), \quad x \rightarrow \pm a \pm 0
\end{align*}
$$

For the contact stresses on the slip sections to be compressive, it is necessary to satisfy four inequalilities $K_{I}( \pm a) \leqslant 0, K_{I}( \pm b) \leqslant 0$, which we write in the following form by taking account of (3.14)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \left[ \pm \delta_{j}+(-1)^{j}(\theta \pm 1 / 2 \pi n)\right] \leqslant 0, \quad j=1,2 ; n \geqslant 0 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

They generate two sequences of conditions constraining the direction of the forces $X_{1}{ }^{\prime}$, $Y_{1}^{\prime}$ and the ratio of the lengths of the slip and adhesion sections

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\theta-1 / 2 \pi| \leqslant \delta_{0}, \quad n=1,5,9, \ldots ;|\theta-8 / 2 \pi| \leqslant \delta_{0}, n= \\
& 3,7,11, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\delta_{0}=\delta_{1}$ for $c \leqslant \sqrt{a b}, \delta_{0}=\delta_{2}$, for $c \geqslant \sqrt{a b}$, the first inequality corresponds to separation and the second to stamp impression into the half-plane. Inequalities (3.16), in addition to (3.17), allow solutions at a discrete set of points $\lambda=\lambda_{n}$ for all even $n \geqslant 0$, but they do not introduce anything new.

Indeed, for $\lambda=\lambda_{n}$ the equation $T(n, \lambda)=0$ has two roots with even and odd $n$ according to Remark 3, which determine the identical solution of the contact problem by the uniqueness theorem, and all odd $n$ are already in (3.17).

It follows from (3.17) that the solution of problem (3.1) for $\sigma_{x}{ }^{\infty}=\varepsilon_{x}{ }^{\infty}=0$ in intervals $\lambda \in\left(\lambda_{26}, \lambda_{28+1}\right), s=0,1,2, \ldots$, cannot be realized mechanically for any $\theta$. The set of values of $\lambda$ for which the solution has mechanical meaning in the neighbourhood of the points $\pm a, \pm b$ agrees completely with the set of segments $\left[\lambda_{n}, \lambda_{n+1}\right]$ only for $\theta=1 / 2 \pi, n=1,5, \ldots$ and $\theta=$ $3 /{ }_{2} \pi, n=3,7, \ldots$, i.e., for $X_{1}^{\prime}=0$. As the force deviates from the normal to either side, each $n$-th segment is contracted monotonically, being transformed for $\left|X_{1}^{\prime}\right|=\left|Y_{1}^{\prime}\right|$ at the point $\lambda_{n}{ }^{*}$ governed by the equation $2 \gamma K\left(\lambda_{n}{ }^{*}\right)-\left(n+1 /_{2}\right) K^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{n}{ }^{*}\right)=0$. For $\left|X_{1}^{\prime}\right|>\left|Y_{1}^{\prime}\right|$ the problem under consideration has no solution.

The question occurs as to whether conditions (3.17) are sufficient for the inequality $\sigma_{y}(x, 0)<0$ to be satisfied for all $x \in L$. Since sufficiency is strictly well-founded in the problem with one detached section $/ 2 /$ and the sections $L_{1}, L_{2}$ are small compared $M_{1}$ with (according to numerical calculations $\lambda_{1}=0.999, \lambda_{2}=1-1.26 \cdot 10^{-7}$, the asymptotic form $\lambda_{n}$ has the form $\lambda_{n}=1-8 \exp \left(-1 / 2 p^{-1} \pi n\right)$, then according to Saint-Venant's principle the influence of the stress $\sigma_{\psi}(x, 0)$ for $x \in L_{1}$ on the value of $\sigma_{y}(x, 0)$ for $x \in L_{2}$ is small and the sufficiency of conditions (3.17) obviously holds.

The constraints (3.17) uniquely define the allowable adhesion sections [-b,b] but the whole stamp base $\left[a_{1}{ }^{*}, b_{2}{ }^{*}\right]$ can be broader than the contact section $[-a, a]$ because of the intervals $S^{\prime}$.

Indeed, let the parameters $\theta$ and $\lambda$ satisfy condition (3.17). If $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{0}}=\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathbf{1}}$ or inequality (3.17) is strict for $\delta_{0}=\delta_{\mathbf{2}}$, then $K_{1}( \pm a)<0$ and according to (3.15) the intervals $\left[a_{1}{ }^{*}, b_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{*}\right]$ and $[-a, a]$ should agree, otherwise $v(x)>v(a)$ for $x \in S^{\prime}$ near $\pm a$. If $\delta_{0}=\delta_{\mathbf{2}}$ and equality (3.17) is satisfied, then the intensity factor $K_{I}$ vanishes at the points $a,-a$, or at both these points in the case of normal forces, the adjacency of the stamp to the half-plane will be smooth there, and under the condition $v(x) \leqslant v(a), x \in S^{\prime}$ the stamp base can overlap the

```
interval [-a, a].
```

Following $/ 2 /$, equations can be written down for exact values of the ultimately large parameters $a_{1}{ }^{*}$ and $b_{2}{ }^{*}$ but they will differ slightly from the appropriate parameters for the stamp /2/ with one adhesion section $x \in[0,2 b]$ and one slip section $x \in[2 b, a+b]$. This also follows from Saint-Venant's principle and the estimate $1-\lambda_{n}<10^{-8}$ for all $n \geqslant 1$. In particular, for a normal separating force $\theta=1 / 2^{\pi}$, as in $/ 2 / a_{1}{ }^{*}=-\infty, b_{2}{ }^{*}=\infty$ for $\delta_{2}=0$ and $n=1$. This means that if the sections of $L$ reached a certain threshold value ( $b a^{-1}=\lambda_{1}$ ) on increasing, then both detached cracks become globally unstable. In view of the monotonic growth of the factor $K_{11}$ as a function of $a-b$ for $b a^{-1}=\lambda_{1}$, the process being started of their advancement for a constant force $Y_{1}^{\prime}$ results in total separation of the stamp.

During its development on the path to global instability ( $b a^{-1}>\lambda_{1}$ ) the crack can, theoretically, arbitrarily pass many deceleration states. Indeed, if $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathbf{0}}=\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathbf{2}}$ or the strict inequality (3.17) holds for $\delta_{0}=\delta_{1}$, then for a sufficiently large quantity $F$ both detached cracks are developed $K_{\mathrm{I}}( \pm b)<0$. If Eq. (3.17) is satisfied for $\delta_{0}=\delta_{1}$, then the intensity factors $K_{\text {II }}$ and $K_{I}$ vanish at the points $b$ or $-b$ and for $X_{1}{ }^{\prime}=0$ simultaneously at either.

The last case of a normal force is especially interesting since here both cracks become absolutely stable on advancing to points governed by a denumerable set of parameters $\quad \lambda=\lambda_{149}$ for $\theta=1 / 2 \pi$ and $\lambda=\lambda_{18+4}$ for $\theta=3 / 2 \pi, s=0,1, \ldots$

This problem was actually examined in $/ 3 /$, but its mechanical formulation, method of solution and analysis were different. It was assumed that the stamp $[-a, a]$ is impressed in a half-plane by a normal force under conditions of prelimiting friction on $[-b, b]$ and slip outside this interval. The replacement of the prelimiting friction conditions by total adhesion conditions made in $/ 3 /$ is legitimate in principle, but requires verification that the inequality $\left|\tau_{x y}\right| \leqslant-\rho \sigma_{y}, x \in[-b, b], y=0$, is satisfied after the problem has been solved, where $\rho>0$ is the coefficient friction.

Although any problem of prelimiting friction has a non-denumerable set of solutions, none of them is realized in this case. This is indicated indirectly even in $/ 3 /$ itself (the sign of $\sigma_{v}(x, 0)$ is variable for $x \in\{-b, b\}$ ) and in $/ 6 /$. Tensile stresses are naturally allowable in problem (1.1) when studying detachment at $M$, and it follows from (3.12) that they always occur in the range $[-b, b]$.

The method of solution /3/ cannot be extended to the general case of the problem (3.1). References to other papers in which is method was used can be found in $/ 6,7 /$.
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